SAO 247 (02/08) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction

FILEW OB MAR 19 13:540SIN-URP UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the	
District of Oregon	
) Date of Previous Judgment:	Case No: CR 02-323-01-RE USM No: 63185-065 Stephen R. Sady Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of ✓ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: ☐ DENIED.	eviously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to 78
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE Previous Offense Level: 28 Criminal History Category: III Previous Guideline Range: 97 to 121 months	(Prior to Any Departures) Amended Offense Level: 26 Criminal History Category: III Amended Guideline Range: 78 to 97 months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. ✓ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. Other (explain): 	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED.	11/13/2003 shall remain in effect.
Order Date: 03 · 19 . 05 Effective Date: (if different from order date)	James A. Redden, District Court Judge Printed name and title